

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/773,627 | ARIYASU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kevin R. Kruer | 1773 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Kevin R. Kruer.

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard Gallagher.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none


Claims discussed:

claim 25

Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed to the examiner's amendments detailed in the attached Supplemental Notice of Allowance
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)